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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Spectacular Rise in Prices

Over the last three years there has been considerable interest in the uranium market, spurred by rapidly rising prices. Spot prices have, in fact, tripled since January 2003, for which explanations vary, but include the following factors:

- Depleting commercial uranium inventories.
- Mine production is unlikely to expand at a sufficient rate to replace falling secondary supplies, over the next few years.
- Uncertainty over the timing and magnitude of secondary supplies on the commercial market (Stephen Kidd, 2005).
- Rising demand from nuclear power utilities in China, India, South Korea and Russia.
- A more positive outlook for nuclear power due to the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol and the start of Emissions (reduction) Trading (nuclear electricity avoids the emission of 100s of millions of tons of CO₂ produced through coal-fired electricity generation).

Currently (March 2007), the uranium spot price stands at $85/pound, with sentiment still bullish and analysts forecasting a price of $100/pound before year-end. Some commentators even go as far as saying that uranium might prove to be “flavour of the decade”.

1.2 Rising prices spur investment in expansions, new projects and exploration

The rising prices have encouraged investment in new capacity, new projects and grass roots exploration. Investor interest in the uranium market has also grown significantly, and this combined with the encouraging supply/demand fundamentals has driven prices to the current levels.

Mine production is set to increase in the short-term chiefly because prices are currently at a level that is higher than the marginal operating costs of most mines.
1.3 **Seeking energy security**

Perhaps as important as the fundamentals themselves, has been a sea-change in attitude towards nuclear power. Some developing countries such as South Africa and India believe that uranium could play a significant role in ensuring energy security, or at the very least a diversification of energy sources away from dependence on coal (associated with high CO$_2$ emissions). Nuclear power produces base-load energy with negligible CO$_2$ emissions.

South Africa’s Minister of Minerals and Energy recently (February 2007) announced government’s intention of declaring uranium “a strategic mineral” and launching a “uranium beneficiation” programme in order to secure nuclear fuel supplies for South Africa’s growing electricity needs. The aim of the DME’s nuclear energy policy and strategy is to secure SA’s supply of uranium for 40 to 60 years, she said. This strategy would outline a vision for nuclear base-load electricity generation capacity (similar to Koeberg) and small to medium-sized nuclear power plants (such as the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor) in South Africa.

1.4 **Objective of the Report**

In the light of these recent developments in South Africa, this report seeks to assess the most likely sources of future production in South Africa. Some commissioned, brownfields$^1$, greenfields$^2$ and potential projects are reviewed with a view to shedding some light on the questions: How much uranium can South Africa produce in the short term. Where will it come from and when?

---

$^1$ “brownfields” means a project expanded or redeveloped from a previous or existing commercial facility

$^2$ “greenfields” means a new project developed from scratch
2. SOUTH AFRICAN PRODUCTION IN 2005

In 2005, South Africa’s uranium production totalled 795.3t U₃O₈ (674 tU), a decrease of 10.4 percent compared with the 887.3t U₃O₈ (746 tU) recorded in 2004. Production was recovered as a by-product of gold mining. South African production in 2005 was a mere 11 percent of its historic peak in 1980 (6 147 tU).

Only one mining company, AngloGold Ashanti produced uranium in South Africa in 2005. The company’s Vaal River Operations was the eleventh largest producing mine in the world in 2005, accounting for 1.6 percent of world production (see Table 1).

TABLE 1 - WORLD RESOURCES AND PRODUCTION OF URANIUM, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>URANIUM RESOURCES(^*)</th>
<th>PRODUCTION(^*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAR(^a)</td>
<td>Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1 143</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation(^e)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine(^e)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China(^e)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India(^e)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td>4 098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WORLD TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>4 743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
OECD’s NEA & IAEA, Uranium 2005: Resources, Production and Demand
World Nuclear Association, Market Report data, 2005

Notes:
\(^*\) Reasonably Assured Resources (RAR) plus Inferred Resources, to $130/kg U
\(^e\) Estimated
According to statistics provided to the Directorate: Mineral Economics (2006), South Africa’s entire production of uranium oxide is refined and exported by NUFCOR (Nuclear Fuels Corporation) and no domestic mine sales were reported in 2005. Export statistics show that South Africa exported 873.1 tons of uranium and uranium compounds (HS code 28.44.10) worth about R325 million during 2005.

Preliminary estimates indicate that production in 2006 reached about 640 tons U$_3$O$_8$. Once again all this production was exported and no domestic sales were reported.

South Africa is well endowed with primary uranium resources. According to data published by the OECD’s Nuclear Energy Association and the International Atomic Energy Association (2006), South Africa’s uranium resources (at 341 kt U) were ranked 5th in 2005.
3. URANIUM PROJECT SURVEY

To aid in obtaining up-to-date information on some of the brownfields, greenfields and potential projects, a survey was conducted by sending out a questionnaire to the owners of these projects in February 2007.

Responses to the survey varied from complete to no response at all. Consequently, information from the companies’ websites or media reports were used to populate the survey in cases where there were no responses or incomplete responses.

The information on the projects is presented in Table 2 below, and includes the project’s or potential project’s location, the owner/s, the type and stage of the project, capacity (where available), resources/reserves (where available), and production forecast (where applicable and available).

Since this is the first attempt to compile such a project survey, any company involved with uranium projects in South Africa that is not included here is encouraged to submit its project information for inclusion in the next edition of this report (see feedback details on page 22).

3.1) Production prospects
The projects in Table 2 range from new mines, expansions, tailings (residue deposits) to other deposits.

The current producing mine is AngloGold Ashanti’s Vaal River Operations. The Dominion Uranium project has reportedly produced its first uranium in March 2007. Other projects that are likely to go into production in the short-term include First Uranium Corporation’s Ezulwini and Buffelsfontein projects and UraMin’s Ryst Kuil Channel project.
### TABLE 2 – URANIUM PROJECT SURVEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT / Deposit (Location)</th>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>PROJECT INVESTMENT AND/OR COST</th>
<th>TYPE/STAGE (NEW; EXPANSION; TAILINGS)</th>
<th>CAPACITY</th>
<th>RESOURCES / RESERVES</th>
<th>PRODUCTION FORECAST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominion Uranium Project (Klerksdorp, North West Province)</td>
<td>SXR Uranium One</td>
<td>Start-up capital costs of $152 million (to Q1 of 2007). Average cash operating costs over the life of Phase I of $14.50/pound of $U_3O_8$, net of gold by-product credits.</td>
<td>Brownfields project. Significant gold by-product CIL gold processing plant in place will form part of complex to recover both uranium and gold from the project.</td>
<td>Probable reserve of 18.5 million tons at an average grade of 0.77 kg/t containing 31.3 million pounds of $U_3O_8$.</td>
<td>Production to start in Q1 2007. Ramp up in production to 3.8 million pounds/year (1 710 tons/y) by 2011. Conceptual work underway to double production to 7.0 million pounds per year by 2012. Large exploration programme, including plans to explore additional properties which lie to the west of the area covered by existing new order mining right.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT / Deposit (Location)</td>
<td>COMPANY</td>
<td>PROJECT INVESTMENT AND/OR COST</td>
<td>TYPE/STAGE (NEW; EXPANSION; TAILINGS)</td>
<td>CAPACITY</td>
<td>RESOURCES / RESERVES</td>
<td>PRODUCTION FORECAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ezulwini Uranium &amp; Gold Project (North West province)</td>
<td>First Uranium Corporation (Simmer &amp; Jack)</td>
<td>Mine life capital totals $280m (incl. 20% contingency). Pre Production Capital of $155m expended over 3.5 yrs (i.e. leading up to full production capacity in 2012). Average cash operating cost over life of mine (19yrs) is $56.7 per tonne milled. Project NPV of $258m (US$500 / oz - Au; US$40/lb - Uranium; R7.4:US$1)</td>
<td>Developing project. Company’s goal is to re-open Ezulwini underground mine, which has been on care and maintenance since 2001.</td>
<td>Up to 200 000 tpm mining from underground. Peak production of 2 168 mtpa (180 000 tpm) by 2012.</td>
<td>Measured and indicated resources total 10.5 million tons at 6.1 g/t, for some 2 million ounces of gold and 6.8 million pounds of uranium.</td>
<td>Uranium production expected at the end of 2008. First Uranium believes that the rectification programme will enable the Ezulwini project to reach a production output of about 130 000 tons/month by 2009 and 180 000 tons/month by 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffelsfontein Uranium &amp; Gold Project (North West province)</td>
<td>First Uranium Corporation (Simmer &amp; Jack)</td>
<td>Mine life capital totals $169m (incl. 20% contingency). Pre Production Capital of $167m expended over 3.5 yrs (i.e. leading up to full production capacity in 2012). Average cash operating cost over life of mine (14yrs) is $2.47 per tonne milled. Project NPV of $211m (US$500 / oz - Au; US$40/lb - Uranium; R7.4:US$1)</td>
<td>Developing project. Company’s goal is to construct the Buffelsfontein tailings recovery facility</td>
<td>Nominal initial capacity of 20 000tpd rising over a 3yr period to 60 000tpd (21.6 million tpa) of reclaimed old mine tailings.</td>
<td>Measured and indicated resources total 281 million tons at 0.31 g/t, for some 2.7 million ounces of gold and 69 g/t for some 42.7 million pounds of uranium.</td>
<td>The first uranium and gold production from the Buffelsfontein tailings recovery is expected by the second half of 2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT / DEPOSIT (Location)</td>
<td>COMPANY</td>
<td>PROJECT INVESTMENT AND/OR COST</td>
<td>TYPE/STAGE (NEW; EXPANSION; TAILINGS)</td>
<td>CAPACITY</td>
<td>RESOURCES / RESERVES</td>
<td>PRODUCTION FORECAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ryst Kuil Channel</strong></td>
<td>UraMin Inc</td>
<td>The Company expects to complete a Definitive Feasibility Study by March 2008.</td>
<td>Exploration underway. Feasibility stage.</td>
<td>Not available.</td>
<td>Total “historical mineral resource” is 19 million tons at a grade of 0.1%. Exploration is underway and a resource and mineral reserve statement is expected in the second quarter of 2007.</td>
<td>The Company believes that this property is capable of being placed into commercial production by late 2009 at the rate of 2.6 to 3.0 million pounds uranium per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sutherland</strong></td>
<td>UraMin Inc</td>
<td>The Group has identified several areas of interest in the Sutherland district and proximate areas and 34 applications for prospecting rights have been submitted to the DME by and on behalf of Mago Resources, the Company's 70% owned subsidiary. The Group is awaiting a decision by the DME on each of these applications.</td>
<td>Applied for prospecting rights.</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
<td>According to RSG Global, historical summary reports indicate a total mineralisation at various cut off grades of approximately 27 million pounds of $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$ on the properties in Sutherland and proximate areas. These historical estimations have not been verified and are not in accordance with the requirements of any international reporting code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT / Deposit (Location)</td>
<td>COMPANY</td>
<td>PROJECT INVESTMENT AND/OR COST</td>
<td>TYPE/STAGE (NEW; EXPANSION; TAILINGS)</td>
<td>CAPACITY</td>
<td>RESOURCES / RESERVES</td>
<td>PRODUCTION FORECAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok Flats (Gauteng)</td>
<td>UraMin Inc</td>
<td>The Group has identified several areas of interest in the Springbok Flats district and twenty-two applications for prospecting rights have been submitted to the DME by and on behalf of Mago Resources, the Company's 70% owned subsidiary. Prospecting rights were granted on the Lefifi Block and Mocha Block respectively to Mago and a third party under contractual obligation to transfer these rights to Mago Resources upon the Minister's consent, which is still pending. The Group is awaiting a decision by the DME on each of its twenty other applications in the region.</td>
<td>Applied for prospecting rights.</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
<td>Previous exploration work focused on the central and north-eastern sectors of the coal field and several deposits containing between 0.016% and 0.1% U over a 1 m width were delineated. According to a publication released by the Council of Geoscience in 1998, resources for the entire Springbok Flats coal field were estimated at 55 000 tons of U in 1994.</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT / DEPOSIT (LOCATION)</td>
<td>COMPANY</td>
<td>PROJECT INVESTMENT AND/OR COST</td>
<td>TYPE/STAGE (NEW; EXPANSION; TAILINGS)</td>
<td>CAPACITY</td>
<td>RESOURCES / RESERVES</td>
<td>PRODUCTION FORECAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterval and Rietkuil prospects</td>
<td>Western Uranium Limited, a subsidiary of Brinkley Mining Plc (in which Lonrho Africa Plc has a 9.8% interest)</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
<td>Prospecting Rights</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
<td>Waterval property has a “non-compliant historical mineral resource of between 39 000 and 50 590 tons uranium. “Exploration potential” in the Rietkuil property is estimated at between “12 million and 25 million pounds of uranium oxide”</td>
<td>Not available / No response from company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmony Gold’s residue deposits (Free State &amp; Gauteng)</td>
<td>Harmony Gold</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Harmony Gold’s residue deposits, i.e., slimes dams and dumps</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Study of the resources contained in slimes dams was reportedly underway.</td>
<td>Unknown. Many companies interested in ownership of the uranium in residue deposits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits Gold - possible uranium opportunities (North West province)</td>
<td>Witwatersrand Consolidated Gold Resources (Wits Gold)</td>
<td>Not applicable. Company is focussed on gold resources. Potential to produce by-product uranium.</td>
<td>Company is focussed on gold resources. Potential to produce by-product uranium.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palabora (Phalaborwa, Limpopo Province)</td>
<td>Palabora Mining Company Ltd.</td>
<td>Copper mine that ceased uranium production in 2001 from the Heavy Minerals Plant. The Heavy Minerals Plant was decommissioned in 2001 and subsequently dismantled.</td>
<td>Last reported (2001) production was 8.1 tons U3O8</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Palabora Mining Company stated that it has no intention of recovering uranium from the tailings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. PRODUCTION FORECAST
(NB: conservative forecast with caveats and assumptions)

It is estimated from the information provided that production in 2007 could pass the 1 400 t U₃O₈ level, with production coming from AngloGold Ashanti’s Vaal River Operations and SXR Uranium One’s Dominion Project.

By the year 2009, production could more than double to over 2 800 t U₃O₈, if First Uranium’s projects (including Ezulwini, Buffelsfontein and Ryst Kuil) go into production as projected.

Five years from now (2011), production could pass the 5 000 t U₃O₈ level, if all the projects that are scheduled to start producing in the next few years, are producing at levels forecast.

These forecasts are based on many assumptions and caveats which include the following:

- A major caveat is that this survey was incomplete;
- Some production forecasts are rough estimates, and these could change (increase or decrease) in the coming years as projects move into production;
- Some potential tailings’ production was not included in this forecast and that could provide the upside for production in South Africa;
- Upside could also be provided by some companies adding by-product uranium production to their portfolios.
- It is assumed that the demand/supply fundamentals continue to be positive in the forecast period.
- It is also assumed that regulatory changes do not affect production in South Africa.

Also of interest to the reader would be a map showing the geographic spread of issued mining/prospecting rights in South Africa as it appeared in the National Mining Promotion System (NMPS) database (February 2007). This has been appended to this report (see Appendix 1).
5. CONCLUSION

The encouraging demand/supply fundamentals and a much more positive attitude towards nuclear power are underpinning rapid uranium price increases, which in turn are fuelling investment in greenfields and brownfields projects in South Africa.

The project survey conducted suggests that South Africa’s uranium production is set to increase to over 5 000 tons U$_3$O$_8$ over the next five years dominated by projects in the North West province.

Currently South Africa’s uranium production is exported, but this could change in future as government has indicated its intention of launching a “uranium beneficiation” programme in order to secure nuclear fuel supplies for South Africa’s growing electricity needs.
Appendix 1: Map showing location of issued mining/prospecting rights in South Africa
7. USEFUL CONTACT DETAILS

AngloGold Ashanti
Tel: 011 637 6385
Fax: 011 637 6400
E-mail: investors@anglogoldashanti.com
Website: http://www.anglogoldashanti.co.za/

First Uranium
Tel: 011 830 0390
Fax: 011 837 3840
Website: http://www.firsturanium.com

Harmony Gold
Tel: 011 684 0140
Fax: 011 684 0188
E-mail: corporate@harmony.co.za
Website: http://www.harmony.co.za/

Palabora Mining Company Limited
P.O. Box 65
Phalaborwa
1390
Tel: 015 780 2911
Fax: 015 781 0448
Website: http://www.palabora.co.za/

SXR Uranium One
Empire Park, Block A
55 Empire Rd,
Parktown
Gauteng
Tel: 011 482 3605
Fax: 011 482 3604
Website: www.uranium1.com/
UraMin Inc
Tel: 011 783 5056
Fax: 011 884 9557
E-mail: info@uramin.com
Website: http://www.uramin.com

Wits Gold
12th Floor,
70 Fox Street,
Johannesburg,
South Africa
Tel: 011 832 1749
Fax: 011 838 3208
Website: http://www.witsgold.co.za/

---

GETTING IT RIGHT OR TO INCLUDE YOUR PROJECT:

The disclaimer places the onus on mining and exploration companies to report any errors, omissions or updates:

Please send feedback to Ashok Damarupurshad either by:
Fax or e-mail:

Fax: (012) 320-4327 or

E-mail: (ashok@dme.gov.za)
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